

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/800,173	RADATTI, PETER V.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Michael J. Yigdal	2192	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Michael J. Yigdal.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Frank J. Bonini, Jr. (Reg. No. 35,452).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 December 2007
**Time:** 11:00 AM ET
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

4, 8-15 and 18-26

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Agreed that Applicant's representative will provide the examiner with a new listing of claims, which the examiner will adopt as an examiner's amendment. The new listing of claims is necessary because Applicant's amendment filed on October 10, 2007 was apparently based, unintentionally, on an earlier set of claims rather than on the most recent set of claims filed in Applicant's amendment of January 5, 2007.